

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AUSTIN DIVISION

DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED and SECOND  
AMENDMENT FOUNDATION, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

V.

GURBIR GREWAL, in his official capacity as New Jersey Attorney General; MICHAEL FEUER, in his official capacity as Los Angeles City Attorney; ANDREW CUOMO, in his official capacity as New York Governor; MATTHEW DENN, in his official capacity as Attorney General of the State of Delaware; JOSH SHAPIRO, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Pennsylvania; and THOMAS WOLF, in his official capacity as Pennsylvania Governor,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:18-CV-637-RP

**Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File  
Plaintiffs' Motion for a  
Preliminary Injunction**

Plaintiffs Defense Distributed and the Second Amendment Foundation seek leave to file a motion for a preliminary injunction that exceeds the page limitations set by Local Rule CV-7. This motion is opposed by the sole defendant at issue, New Jersey Attorney General Gurbir Grewal.

## Argument

Local Rule CV-7 provides that, “[u]nless otherwise authorized by the court, a dispositive motion is limited to 20 pages and a nondispositive motion is limited to 10 pages.” Plaintiffs seek leave to file a 28-page motion for a preliminary injunction.

Plaintiffs' proposed motion for a preliminary injunction is attached to this filing as Exhibit A and the proposed order that accompanies it is attached as Exhibit B. An appendix containing the motion's evidence is being filed separately.

There is good cause to grant leave because Plaintiffs' motion presents important, complex issues that warrant more detailed briefing than usual. In essence, this action begins where the Court left off in *Defense Distributed v. United States Department of State*, No. 1:15-CV-372-RP (W.D. Tex.) (hereinafter *Defense Distributed I*). That case concerned the important issue of whether federal law lets the State Department halt Defense Distributed's online publication of certain digital firearms information. This action concerns similarly important issues about whether states can censor that same digital firearms information. Specifically, Plaintiffs' motion argues that Defendant Gurbir Grewal, the New Jersey Attorney General, should be enjoined from both (1) enforcing a statute that criminalizes the distribution of digital firearms information, and (2) using cease-and-desist letters and other civil legal actions to stop the Plaintiffs' distribution of digital firearms information. The motion shows how doing so violates the First Amendment's doctrine regarding content-based speech restrictions, the First Amendment's doctrine regarding overbreadth, the First Amendment's doctrine regarding criminal scienter, the Due Process Clause's vagueness doctrine, the dormant Commerce Clause, and the Supremacy Clause's doctrines regarding the Communications Decency Act of 1996 and the federal government's exclusive authority over foreign affairs. The prompt resolution of these complex arguments is important not just for the parties, but for the general public. Longer briefs than usual will help the Court achieve that by supplying a useful level of detail as to both the factual and legal aspects of each argument.

No unfairness will result from granting the requested leave. For if the Court grants the Plaintiffs leave to file a motion exceeding the default page limits, the Plaintiffs would certainly consent to a corresponding allowance of additional pages for the Defendant's response. That way, on appeal, both sides can be said to have received a full and fair opportunity to present their full argument at this critical juncture of the case.

### Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs request that the Court grant them leave to file the motion for a preliminary injunction attached to this filing as Exhibit A, along with the proposed order attached to this filing as Exhibit B.

Date: December 4, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

BECK REDDEN LLP

By /s/ Chad Flores

Chad Flores\*

cflores@beckredden.com

State Bar No. 24059759

1221 McKinney St., Suite 4500

Houston, TX 77010

(713) 951-3700 | (713) 952-3720 (fax)

FARHANG & MEDCOFF

Matthew Goldstein\*

mgoldstein@fmlaw.law

D.C. Bar No. 975000

4801 E. Broadway Blvd., Suite 311

Tucson, AZ 85711

(202) 550-0040 | (520) 790-5433 (fax)

Josh Blackman

joshblackman@gmail.com

Virginia Bar No. 78292

1303 San Jacinto Street

Houston, TX 77002

(202) 294-9003 | (713) 646-1766 (fax)

\*Admitted *pro hac vice*

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Defense Distributed  
and Second Amendment Foundation, Inc.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

On December 4, 2018, I served this filing on the following persons via CM/ECF:

Counsel for Defendants Gurbir S. Grewal and Matthew Denn  
Kenneth W. Taber  
Ronald Casey Low

Counsel for Defendant Michael Feuer  
Connie K. Chan  
James P. Clark  
Michael M. Walsh  
Jason P. Steed

Counsel for Defendants Josh Shapiro and Thomas Wolf  
J. David Cabello  
John D. Kimball

Counsel for Defendant Andrew Cuomo  
Pete Marketos  
Tyler Bexley

Counsel for Plaintiffs  
Chad Flores  
Matthew A. Goldstein  
Joshua Michael Blackman

/s/ Chad Flores

Chad Flores